arXiv:1209.6483v1 [math.NT] 28 Sep 2012

RATIOS OF PERIODS FOR TENSOR PRODUCT MOTIVES

CHANDRASHEEL BHAGWAT & A. RAGHURAM

Abstract. In this article we prove some period relations for the ratio of Deligne's periods for certain tensor product motives. These period relations give a motivic interpretation for certain algebraicity results for ratios of successive critical values for Rankin-Selberg L-functions for $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n'}$ proved by Günter Harder and the second author.

1. Introduction and motivation

1.1. **A classical example.** To motivate the period relations proved in this paper let us recall a classical theorem due to Shimura [Shi77] on the critical values of L-functions attached to modular forms. Let $\varphi = \sum a_n q^n$ be a primitive holomorphic cusp form of weight k for $\Gamma_0(N)$. For a Dirichlet character χ, let $L_f(s, \varphi, \chi) = \sum_n a_n \chi(n)/n^s$. There exist $u^{\pm}(\varphi) \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ (the periods of φ) such that for any integer m with $1 \leq m \leq k-1$, we have

$$L_f(m, \varphi, \chi) \sim (2\pi i)^m \gamma(\chi) u^{\pm}(\varphi),$$

where $\chi(-1) = \pm(-1)^m$, $\gamma(\chi)$ is the Gauß sum of χ, and $\sim$ means equality up to an element of the number field $\mathbb{Q}(\varphi, \chi) := \mathbb{Q}\left(\{a_n\} \cup \{\text{values of } \chi\}\right)$. Now suppose $1 \leq m \leq k-2$, then

$$\frac{L_f(m, \varphi, \chi)}{L_f(m+1, \varphi, \chi)} \ \sim \ (2\pi i)^{-1} \frac{u^{\pm}(\varphi)}{u^{\mp}(\varphi)},$$

assuming the denominator of the left hand side is nonzero. The 2π on the right hand side can be thought of as coming from the L-factors at infinity, and if we define $\Omega(\varphi) := \frac{1}{i}\frac{u^+(\varphi)}{u^-(\varphi)}$, then the ratio of successive critical values of the completed L-function looks like:

$$\frac{L(m, \varphi, \chi)}{L(m+1, \varphi, \chi)} \ \sim \ \Omega(\varphi)^{\chi(-1)(-1)^m}. \tag{1.1.1}$$

Such an algebraicity result for ratios of successive critical values has been generalized by Günter Harder and the second author [HaRa11] which we now briefly recall.

Date: October 1, 2012.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11F67; Secondary 11G09.

C.B. is partially supported by DST-INSPIRE Faculty scheme, award number [IFA- 11MA -05]. A.R. is partially supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF), award number DMS-0856113, and an Alexander von Humboldt Research Fellowship.

1.2. **A neo-classical generalization.** Let π (resp., π') be a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ (resp., $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}(\mathbb{A})$), where $\mathbb{A}$ is the ring of adèles of $\mathbb{Q}$. Implicit in this data is a pure dominant integral highest weight λ (resp., λ') for the algebraic group $\mathrm{GL}_n/\mathbb{Q}$ (resp., $\mathrm{GL}_{n'}/\mathbb{Q}$). Assume that n is even and n' is odd. Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a number field containing the rationality fields $\mathbb{Q}(\pi)$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\pi')$. To the representation π and to any embedding $\iota : E \to \mathbb{C}$, there exist certain *relative periods* $\Omega(\pi, \iota) \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ and the collection of these periods, as ι varies, is well-defined up to $\mathbb{E}^\times$. (See [HaRa11].) One may say that one has attached $\Omega(\pi) \in (\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})^\times/\mathbb{E}^\times$. Suppose that $m \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ is such that both m and $m+1$ are critical for the Rankin-Selberg L-function $L(s, \pi \times \pi')$. Then under a certain assumption involving only λ and λ' (called the combinatorial lemma in *loc.cit.*), it has been shown that

$$\frac{L(m, \pi \times \pi')}{L(m+1, \pi \times \pi')} \ \sim \ \Omega(\pi)^{\epsilon_{\pi'}\epsilon_m}\, c(\pi_\infty, \pi'_\infty), \tag{1.2.1}$$

where $\sim$ means equality in $(\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})^\times/\mathbb{E}^\times$; $\epsilon_{\pi'}$ is a sign depending only on π'; ϵ_m depends only on the parity of the integer $m - \frac{1}{2}$; $c(\pi_\infty, \pi'_\infty)$ is a nonzero complex number depending only on the representations at infinity (it is expected that this number is rational). Note a piquant feature: it seems that the representation π has a bigger role to play in the right hand side, and that π' contributes only a sign in the exponent of $\Omega(\pi)$. Let us mention *en passant* that all this works over a totally real number field.

1.3. **Motivic interpretation.** Every known algebraicity statement on critical values of L-functions comes under the umbrella of a celebrated conjecture Deligne [Del79] on critical values of motivic L-functions. *The purpose of this article is to look at (1.1.1) and (1.2.1) from the perspective of Deligne's conjecture.* Let M be a critical motive over $\mathbb{Q}$ with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{E}$. Then Deligne attaches two periods $c^\pm(M) \in (\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})^\times/\mathbb{E}^\times$ to M by comparing the Betti and de Rham realizations of M. The finite part of the $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$-valued L-function $L_f(s, M)$ is defined in terms of the ℓ-adic realization of M. Suppose $s = 0$ is critical for the L-function then Deligne predicts that $L_f(0, M) \sim c^+(M)$. Further, if $s = 1$ is also critical then $L_f(1, M) \sim (2\pi i)^{d^-(M)} c^-(M)$ for a certain $d^-(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, the ratio $L_f(0, M)/L_f(1, M) \sim (2\pi i)^{-d^-(M)} c^+(M)/c^-(M)$. As in the case of modular forms, the power of 2π can be interpreted as a relevant ratio of L-factors from infinity (which depend only on the Hodge types of M). Hence if we wish to consider a ratio of successive critical values then we need to consider the ratio of periods $c^+(M)/c^-(M)$. To see (1.2.1), assume that M is pure, simple, and of even rank, and consider another pure simple motive M' whose rank is odd. Assume that $M \otimes M'$ is critical. Further, assume that all the nonzero Hodge numbers of M and M' are 1; such assumptions on M and M', are dictated by the expected properties of the dictionary between motives and algebraic automorphic representations. The main result of this paper (Theorem 3.1.1) states that in $(\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})^\times/\mathbb{E}^\times$ we have

$$\frac{c^+(M \otimes M')}{c^-(M \otimes M')} \ = \ \left(\frac{c^+(M)}{c^-(M)}\right)^{\epsilon(M')}, \tag{1.3.1}$$

where $\epsilon(M')$ is the sign by which complex conjugation acts on the middle Hodge type of M'. This also works over a totally real number field; see Theorem 4.2.1.

The proof of these period relations makes significant use of results of Yoshida [Yos01] on periods of tensor product motives which need not only $c^{\pm}(M)$ but also other invariants attached to M. In §2 we recall the relevant parts of his paper that we need. §3 and §4 are the two main sections of this article. In §5 we discuss the dictionary between motives and automorphic representations to explain our assumptions on M and M'. Finally, in §6 we discuss the situations where the ranks of both M and M' have the same parity.

2. Invariant polynomials, motivic periods, and results of Yoshida

In this section we begin by briefly reviewing the notion of a critical motive and its relation to existence of critical integers for the motivic L-function. Then we review some results of Yoshida [Yos01] that will be useful for our proofs.

2.1. **Critical motives.**

Let M be a motive defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ with coefficients in a number field $\mathbb{E}$. Let $H_B(M)$ be the *Betti realization* of M. It is a finite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{E}$. The rank $d(M)$ of M is defined to be $\dim_{\mathbb{E}}(H_B(M))$. Write

$$H_B(M) \; = \; H_B^+(M) \oplus H_B^-(M),$$

where $H_B^{\pm}(M)$ are the ±1-eigenspaces for the action of complex conjugation ρ on $H_B(M)$. Let $d^{\pm}(M)$ be the $\mathbb{E}$-dimensions of $H_B^{\pm}(M)$. The Betti realization has a *Hodge decomposition*:

$$H_B(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \; = \; \bigoplus_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} H^{p,q}(M), \tag{2.1.1}$$

where $H^{p,q}(M)$ is a free $\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{C}$-module of rank $h_M^{p,q}$. The numbers $h_M^{p,q}$ are called the *Hodge numbers* of M. We say that M is *pure* if there is an integer w such that $H^{p,q}(M) = \{0\}$ if $p + q \neq w$. Henceforth, we assume that all our motives are pure. The number w is called the weight of M. We also have $\rho(H^{p,q}(M)) = H^{q,p}(M)$; and hence ρ acts on the (possibly zero) middle Hodge type $H^{w/2,w/2}(M)$.

Let $H_{DR}(M)$ be the *de Rham realization* of M; it is a $d(M)$-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{E}$. There is a comparison isomorphism of $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$-modules:

$$I : H_B(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \; \longrightarrow \; H_{DR}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}.$$

The de Rham realization has a *Hodge filtration* $F^p(M)$ which is a decreasing filtration of $\mathbb{E}$-subspaces of $H_{DR}(M)$ such that

$$I\left(\bigoplus_{p' \geq p} H^{p',q}(M) \right) \; = \; F^p(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}.$$

Write the Hodge filtration as

$$H_{DR}(M) = F^{p_1}(M) \supset F^{p_2}(M) \supset \cdots \supset F^{p_m}(M) \supset F^{p_{m+1}}(M) = \{0\}\,; \tag{2.1.2}$$

all the inclusions are proper and there are no other filtration-pieces between two successive members. We assume that the numbers p_μ are maximal among all the choices. Let $s_\mu = h_M^{p_\mu, w-p_\mu}$ for $1 \leq \mu \leq m$. Purity plus the action of complex conjugation on Hodge types says that the numbers p_j and s_μ satisfy $p_j + p_{m+1-j} = w, \forall\; 1 \leq j \leq m$, and $s_\mu = s_{m+1-\mu}, \forall\; 1 \leq \mu \leq m$.

We say that the motive M *is critical* if there exist $\mathfrak{p}^+, \mathfrak{p}^- \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{p}^+} s_i = d^+(M), \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\mathfrak{p}^-} s_i = d^-(M).$$

In this case one says that $F^{\pm}(M)$ exists and equals $F^{\mathfrak{p}^{\pm}}(M)$. There are other conditions that are intimately related to a motive being critical.

To state them, we recall that the L-factor at infinity of M is given by the following recipe due to Serre [Ser69]: If there is a nonzero middle Hodge type $H^{w/2}(M) := H^{w/2,w/2}(M)$, then under complex conjugation it decomposes as $H^{w/2}(M) = H_+^{w/2}(M) \oplus H_-^{w/2}(M)$. Define $h_{\pm}^{w/2}$ as the dimension of $H^{w/2}_{\pm(-1)^{w/2}}(M)$. Define

$$L_\infty(s, M) \;=\; \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s - w/2)^{h_+^{w/2}} \cdot \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s - w/2 + 1)^{h_-^{w/2}} \cdot \prod_{p<q} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s-p)^{h_{p,q}}, \tag{2.1.3}$$

where $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s) = 2(2\pi)^{-s}\Gamma(s)$. The global functional equation relates the L-function of M at s to the L-function at $1-s$ of the dual motive $M^{\vee}$. If the Hodge decomposition of M looks like

$$H_B(M) \otimes \mathbb{C} = H^{p_1, w-p_1} \oplus H^{p_2, w-p_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{p_m, w-p_m}, \tag{2.1.4}$$

with $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_m$, $p_j + p_{m+1-j} = w$, then for dual motive $M^{\vee}$ we have:

$$H_B(M^{\vee}) \otimes \mathbb{C} = H^{-p_1, p_1 - w} \oplus H^{-p_2, p_2 - w} \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{-p_m, p_m - w}, \tag{2.1.5}$$

i.e., if (p,q) is a Hodge pair for M then $(-p,-q)$ is a Hodge pair for $M^{\vee}$; note that $M^{\vee}$ is also pure with purity weight $-w$.

Theorem 2.1.6. *Let M be a pure motive whose Hodge decomposition is given by (2.1.4). Consider the following three conditions on M:*

- (i) *The motive M is critical.*
- (ii) *If $H^{w/2,w/2}(M) \neq \{0\}$, then it is contained in $H_B^+(M)$ or $H_B^-(M)$, i.e., complex conjugation acts on the middle Hodge type by a scalar.*
- (iii) *There exist critical integers for the L-function of M, i.e., there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that both $L_\infty(s, M)$ and $L_\infty(1-s, M^{\vee})$ are regular (i.e., no poles) at $s = n$.*

Then the following hold:

1. *(i) $\Longleftrightarrow$ (ii).*
2. *(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i), (ii).*

In general, (ii) does not imply the existence of critical integers as in (iii), however, we have the following:

3. *If $H^{w/2,w/2}(M) = 0$ then $m = 2r$ and any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p_r < n \leq p_{r+1}$ satisfies (iii). (There is always such an integer n; for example $n = p_{r+1}$.)*
4. *If $H^{w/2,w/2}(M) \neq \{0\}$ then $m = 2r+1$, and if ρ acts as $(-1)^{w/2}$ on $H^{w/2,w/2}(M)$ then any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p_r < n \leq p_{r+2}$ and $n - p_{r+1} \in \{\dots, -5, -3, -1, 2, 4, 6 \dots\}$ satisfies (iii). (There are no such integers n if and only if $p_{r+2} = p_r + 2$ (and $p_{r+1} = p_r + 1$).)*

(5) *If* $H^{w/2,w/2}(M) \neq \{0\}$ *then* $m = 2r+1$*, and if* ρ *acts as* $-(-1)^{w/2}$ *on* $H^{w/2,w/2}(M)$ *then any* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ *with* $p_r < n \leq p_{r+2}$ *and* $n - p_{r+1} \in \{\dots, -6, -4, -2, 0, 1, 3, 5, \dots\}$ *satisfies (iii). (There is always such an integer* n*; for example,* $n = p_{r+1}$*.)*

Proof. *(i)* $\iff$ *(ii)*: Recall $s_j = s_{m+1-j} = \dim(H^{p_j, w-p_j})$. For $j < m/2$, the Hodge types can be paired off as $\mathbb{H}_j := H^{p_j,w-p_j} \oplus H^{p_{m+1-j}, w-p_{m+1-j}}$ and each $\mathbb{H}_j$ is stable under ρ. The dimension of $\mathbb{H}_j$ is $2s_j$ and the ±1-eigenspaces for ρ in $\mathbb{H}_j$ each have dimension s_j. We consider two cases:

(1) If $H^{w/2,w/2}(M) = 0$, then $m = 2r$ is even and $s_1 + \cdots + s_r = d^+(M) = d^-(M)$. Hence (i) is true; and (ii) is vacuously true.
(2) $H^{w/2,w/2}(M) \neq \{0\}$ then $m = 2r+1$ is odd. Also, $d^{\pm}(M) \geq s_1 + \cdots + s_r$. The middle Hodge type is contained in H_B^+, i.e., ρ acts on $H^{w/2,w/2}$ as $+1$ if and only if $d^+(M) = s_1 + \cdots + s_{r+1}$ and $d^-(M) = s_1 + \cdots + s_r$. Similarly, ρ acts on $H^{w/2,w/2}$ as -1 if and only if $d^+(M) = s_1 + \cdots + s_r$ and $d^-(M) = s_1 + \cdots + s_{r+1}$.

(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ *(ii)*: Statement (ii) is equivalent to the condition that either $h_+^{w/2} = 0$ or $h_-^{w/2} = 0$. Suppose both are nonzero then from (2.1.3) this means that up to an integral shift in s-variable, both $\Gamma(s/2)$ and $\Gamma((s+1)/2)$ appear in $L_\infty(s, M)$. It is easy then to see that there are no critical integers for the L-function. (This is exactly like the assertion that the product of the L-function of an even Dirichlet character and the L-function of an odd Dirichlet character does not have a critical point.)

Proof of (3): Suppose M does not have a middle Hodge type, then $m = 2r$. Abbreviating $h^{p_j, w-p_j}(M)$ by h_{p_j}, the Γ-factors on both sides of the functional equation look like:

$$\begin{aligned} L_\infty(s, M) &\sim \Gamma(s - p_1)^{h_{p_1}} \cdots \Gamma(s - p_r)^{h_{p_r}}, \\ L_\infty(1 - s, M^\vee) &\sim \Gamma(1 - s + p_{r+1})^{h_{p_{r+1}}} \cdots \Gamma(1 - s + p_m)^{h_{p_m}}. \end{aligned}$$

where by $\sim$ we mean up to products of exponential factors which do not affect *(iii)* at all. Since $\Gamma(s)$ is nonvanishing everywhere with poles only at non-positive integers we get that the non-empty set of integers $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \ : \ p_r + 1 \leq n \leq p_{r+1}\}$ satisfies *(iii)*.

Proof of (4) (and (5)): If $H^{w/2,w/2}(M) \neq \{0\}$ then $m = 2r+1$, and if ρ acts as $(-1)^{w/2}$ on $H^{w/2,w/2}(M)$, then the Γ-factors on both sides of the functional equation look like:

$$\begin{aligned} L_\infty(s, M) &\sim \Gamma(s - p_1)^{h_{p_1}} \cdots \Gamma(s - p_r)^{h_{p_r}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{s - p_{r+1}}{2}\right), \\ L_\infty(1 - s, M^\vee) &\sim \Gamma(1 - s + p_{r+2})^{h_{p_{r+2}}} \cdots \Gamma(1 - s + p_m)^{h_{p_m}} \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1 - s + p_{r+1}}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $L_\infty(s, M)$ does not have a pole at n if and only if $n > p_r$ and $n - p_{r+1} \notin \{\dots, -4, -2, 0\}$; similarly, $L_\infty(1 - s, M^\vee)$ does not have a pole at s if and only if $n \leq p_{r+2}$ and $n - p_{r+1} \notin \{1, 3, 5, \dots\}$. Putting both together, $s = n$ satisfies *(iii)* if and only if $p_r < n \leq p_{r+2}$ and $n - p_{r+1} \in \{\dots, -5, -3, -1, 2, 4, 6 \dots\}$. We omit the very similar proof of (5). $\square$

2.2. **Invariant polynomials and periods.** The period matrix of M is defined in terms of $\mathbb{E}$-bases for the spaces $H_B^{\pm}(M)$ and $H_{DR}(M)$. Let $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{d^+(M)}\}$ be an $\mathbb{E}$-basis of $H_B^+(M)$, and similarly, $\{v_{d^+(M)+1}, v_{d^+(M)+2}, \ldots, v_{d(M)}\}$ be an $\mathbb{E}$-basis of $H_B^-(M)$. Let $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{d(M)}\}$ be a basis of $H_{DR}(M)$ over $\mathbb{E}$ such that $\{w_{s_1+s_2+\ldots+s_{\mu-1}+1}, \ldots, w_{d(M)}\}$ is a basis of $F^{p_\mu}(M)$ for $1 \leq \mu \leq m$. The period matrix X of M is the matrix which represents the comparison isomorphism between the two realizations of M with respect to the bases chosen above. The fundamental periods $c^{\pm}(M)$ and $\delta(M)$ are related to the matrix X through certain invariant polynomials.

Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a number field. Suppose d is a positive integer. Fix a partition $s_1+s_2+\ldots+s_m = d$. Let P_m be the corresponding lower parabolic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(d, F)$. Given an m-tuple of integers $(a_i)_{1\leq i\leq m}$, define an algebraic character λ_1 of P_m by

$$\lambda_1\left(\begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\ * & p_{22} & \ldots & 0 \\ * & * & \ddots & \ldots \\ * & * & * & p_{mm} \end{pmatrix}\right) = \prod_{1\leq i\leq m} (\det\, p_{ii})^{a_i}; \quad p_{ii} \in \mathrm{GL}(s_i).$$

Let $d = d^+ + d^-$. Given $k^+, k^- \in \mathbb{Z}$, define a character λ_2 of $\mathrm{GL}(d^+) \times \mathrm{GL}(d^-)$ by

$$\lambda_2\left(\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}\right) = (\det\, a)^{k^+} (\det\, b)^{k^-}, \quad a \in \mathrm{GL}(d^+),\ b \in \mathrm{GL}(d^-).$$

Let $f(x)$ be a polynomial with rational coefficients which satisfies the following equivariance condition with respect to the left action of P_m and the right action of $\mathrm{GL}(d^+) \times \mathrm{GL}(d^-)$ on the matrix ring $M_d(F)$:

$$(2.2.1) \qquad f(px\gamma) = \lambda_1(p) f(x) \lambda_2(\gamma), \quad \forall\, p \in P_m, \quad \forall\, \gamma \in \mathrm{GL}(d^+) \times \mathrm{GL}(d^-).$$

A polynomial satisfying (2.2.1) is said to have admissibility type $\{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m), (k^+, k^-)\}$. Yoshida [Yos01, Theorem 1] proves that the space of polynomials of a given admissibility type is atmost one.

Lemma 2.2.2. *If the polynomial $f(x)$ has admissibility type $\{(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_m), (k_1^+, k_1^-)\}$, and $g(x)$ has admissibility type $\{(b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_m), (k_2^+, k_2^-)\}$, then the polynomial $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$ has admissible type is given by*

$$\{(a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \ldots, a_m + b_m), (k_1^+ + k_2^+, k_1^- + k_2^-)\}.$$

Proof. Follows from (2.2.1). □

Example 2.2.3. *Let $f(x) = \det(x)$ for a matrix $x \in M_d(F)$. Then $f(x)$ is of admissibility type $\{(1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1), (1, 1)\}$.*

Let $f^{\pm}(x)$ be the upper left (resp., upper right) $d^{\pm} \times d^{\pm}$ determinant of x. Then it can be seen that the admissibility types of $f^+(x)$ and $f^-(x)$ are respectively given by

$$\{(\underbrace{1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1}_{\mathfrak{p}^+}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0), (1, 0)\},$$

$$\{(\underbrace{1, 1, 1, \ldots, 1}_{\mathfrak{p}^-}, 0, 0, \ldots, 0), (0, 1)\}.$$

Yoshida interprets the period invariants to the period matrix X via invariant polynomials as $\delta(M) = f(X)$ and $c^{\pm}(M) = f^{\pm}(X)$. The determinant of the period matrix is an element of $(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{C})^{\times}$, and the making a choice of basis says that it is well-defined modulo $\mathbb{E}^{\times}$.

2.3. **Tensor product motives.** The category of motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{E}$ admits a tensor product. The realizations for the tensor product are naturally identified with the tensor products of the realizations. Yoshida [Yos01, Proposition 12] describes the admissibility types of the polynomials which correspond to the periods $c^{\pm}(M \otimes M')$ of the tensor product motive $M \otimes M'$.

Let X and Y be the period matrices of the motives M and M', respectively. Let $R = \mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $d(M)$ and $d(M')$ are the ranks of M and M' respectively. The numbers $d^{\pm}(M')$ are the dimensions of ± 1-eigenspaces for $H_B(M')$. Write X and Y in the following way:

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1^+ & X_1^- \\ X_2^+ & X_2^- \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ X_{d(M)}^+ & X_{d(M)}^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y_1^+ & Y_1^- \\ Y_2^+ & Y_2^- \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ Y_{d(M')}^+ & Y_{d(M')}^- \end{pmatrix},$$

where $X_i^{\pm} \in R^{d^{\pm}(M)}$ and $Y_l^{\pm} \in R^{d^{\pm}(M')}$.

Given $1 \leq i \leq d(M)$, let $1 \leq \mu \leq m$ be such that

$$s_1 + s_2 + \ldots s_{\mu-1} \leq i < s_1 + s_2 + \ldots + s_{\mu}.$$

The weight $w(X_i)^{\pm}$ is defined to be the integer p_{μ} (*cf.* (2.1.2)). The weight $w(Y_l)^{\pm}$ is defined analogously. Suppose the motive $M \otimes M'$ is critical. Let u_i denote the Hodge numbers of $M \otimes M'$. Hence there exist integers q^+, q^- such that

$$u_1 + u_2 + \ldots + u_{q^{\pm}} = d^{\pm}(M \otimes M').$$

The integers $a_{\mu}^{\pm}$ are defined by

$$a_{\mu}^{\pm} \quad = \quad \left|\left\{l : 1 \leq l \leq d(M'),\ p_{\mu} + w(Y_l) < q^{\mp}\right\}\right|.$$

From the definition of the period, it follows that $c^+(M \otimes M')$ is the determinant of the square matrix Z^+ of size $d^+(M)d^+(M') + d^-(M)d^-(M')$ defined by

$$Z^+ = (X_i^+ \otimes Y_l^+,\ X_i^- \otimes Y_l^- : w(X_i^{\pm}) + w(Y_l^{\pm}) < q^-).$$

Similarly, one observes that $c^-(M \otimes M')$ is the determinant of the square matrix Z^- of size $d^+(M)d^-(M') + d^-(M)d^+(M')$ defined by

$$Z^- = (X_i^+ \otimes Y_l^-,\ X_i^- \otimes Y_l^+ : w(X_i^{\pm}) + w(Y_l^{\pm}) < q^+).$$

The determinants of $Z^{\pm}$ can be expressed in the form $h^{\pm}(X, Y)$, where $h^{\pm}(x, y)$ are polynomial functions. For a fixed y, the function $h^{\pm}(x, y)$ has admissibility type

$$\left\{(a_{\mu}^{\pm} : 1 \leq \mu \leq m, (d^{\pm}(M'), d^{\mp}(M'))\right\}.$$

Define

$$(a^*)_{\nu}^{\pm} = \left|\left\{\mu : 1 \leq \mu \leq m,\ a_{\mu}^{\pm} \geq \nu.\right\}\right|$$

It follows that the data $(\{(a^*)_{\nu}^{\pm}\}), (d^{\pm}(M), d^{\mp}(M))$ describes the admissibility type of $h^{\pm}(x, y)$ for a fixed x.

From the uniqueness property [Yos01, Theorem 1], it follows that the polynomials $h^{\pm}(x,y)$ can be expressed as $h^{\pm}(x,y) = \phi^{\pm}(x)\psi^{\pm}(y)$ where $\phi^{\pm}(x)$ and $\psi^{\pm}(y)$ are polynomials with the following admissibility types respectively:

$$\left\{a_{\mu}^{\pm} : \ (d^{\pm}(M'), d^{\mp}(M'))\right\}, \tag{2.3.1}$$

$$\left\{(a^*)_{\nu}^{\pm} : \ (d^{\pm}(M), d^{\mp}(M))\right\}. \tag{2.3.2}$$

3. Period relations for motives over $\mathbb{Q}$

3.1. The main result on period relations.

We can now state and prove our main

Theorem 3.1.1. *Let M and M' be pure motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ with coefficients in a number field $\mathbb{E}$. Suppose they satisfy the following properties:*

1. *rank(M) = $d(M)$ is even, and rank(M') = $d(M')$ is odd.*
2. *M and M' are simple.*
3. *All the nonzero Hodge numbers $h_M^{p,q}$ and $h_{M'}^{p,q}$ are equal to 1.*
4. *The tensor product motive $M \otimes M'$ is critical.*

Hypothesis (3) implies that M is critical, and furthermore that complex conjugation acts as a scalar, denoted $\epsilon(M')$, on the one-dimensional middle Hodge type of M'.

The periods $c^{\pm}(M)$ and $c^{\pm}(M \otimes M')$ are related by the following equation in $(\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})^{\times}/\mathbb{E}^{\times}$:

$$\frac{c^+(M \otimes M')}{c^-(M \otimes M')} \ = \ \left(\frac{c^+(M)}{c^-(M)}\right)^{\epsilon(M')}.$$

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1.1.

The condition on Hodge numbers of M and M' guarantees that the motives M and M' are critical, and $d^+(M) = d^-(M) = d(M)/2$ and $d^+(M') = d^-(M') \pm 1$, indeed, $d^+(M') = d^-(M') + \epsilon(M')$.

Consider the motive $M \otimes M'$. We observe that $d^{\pm}(M \otimes M') = d(M)d(M')/2$. This is because $H_B^+(M \otimes M') = H_B^+(M) \otimes H_B^+(M') \oplus H_B^-(M) \otimes H_B^-(M')$ whose dimension is $d^+(M)d^+(M') + d^-(M)d^-(M') = (d^+(M') + d^-(M'))d(M)/2 = d(M)d(M')/2$. And similarly, $H_B^-(M \otimes M') = H_B^+(M) \otimes H_B^-(M') \oplus H_B^-(M) \otimes H_B^+(M')$, etc.

Let X and Y be the period matrices of M and M', resp. The period $c^{\pm}(M \otimes M')$ is given by a polynomial $h^{\pm}(X,Y)$. Here $h^{\pm}(x,y) = \phi^{\pm}(x)\psi^{\pm}(y)$ and the polynomials $\phi^{\pm}(x)$ and $\psi^{\pm}(y)$ are of certain admissible types. The desired property follows from the analogous relation between the invariant polynomials $\phi^{\pm}(x)$, $\psi^{\pm}(y)$ and $f^{\pm}(x)$. To prove it, we compare their admissibility types under the hypothesis of the theorem.

Since $d^+(M) = d^-(M)$ and $d^+(M') = d^-(M') + \epsilon(M')$, we have $\mathfrak{p}_M^+ = \mathfrak{p}_M^-$, $\mathfrak{p}_{M'}^+ = \mathfrak{p}_{M'}^- + \epsilon(M')$, $d^+(M \otimes M') = d^-(M \otimes M')$ and $q^+ = q^-$. As a result, we have the following relations:

$$a_{\mu}^+ = a_{\mu}^- \quad \text{for} \ \ 1 \leq \mu \leq d(M), \tag{3.2.1}$$

$$(a^*)_{\nu}^+ = (a^*)_{\nu}^- \quad \text{for} \ \ 1 \leq \nu \leq d(M'). \tag{3.2.2}$$

From (2.3.1), (2.3.2), (3.2.1) and (3.2.2), it follows that the admissibility types (and hence the functions themselves in view of their uniqueness) of $\psi^+(y)$ and $\psi^-(y)$ are equal up to $\mathbb{Q}^\times$-multiples, which we write as

$$\psi^+(y) \approx \psi^-(y).$$

Furthermore, the above conditions also imply that the admissibility types of $\phi^\pm(x)$ and $f^\pm(x)$ are related as we now explain. It is convenient to consider two cases:

Case (i): $\epsilon(M') = 1$.

Here $d^+(M') = d^-(M') + 1$. From Lemma 2.2.2, and (2.3.1), (2.3.2), (3.2.1) and (3.2.2), we see that the admissibility types of $\phi^+(x)f^-(x)$ and $\phi^-(x)f^+(x)$ are given respectively by:

$$\left\{(a_1^+ + 1,\ a_2^+ + 1, \dots a_{d(M)/2}^+ + 1,\ a_{1+(d(M)/2)}^+, \dots, a_{d(M)}^+),\ (d^+(M'),\ d^-(M') + 1)\right\},$$

$$\left\{(a_1^+ + 1,\ a_2^+ + 1, \dots a_{d(M)/2}^+ + 1,\ a_{1+(d(M)/2)}^+, \dots, a_{d(M)}^+),\ (d^-(M') + 1,\ d^+(M'))\right\}$$

which are identical. Hence, from the uniqueness property of invariant polynomials of a given admissibility type we have

$$\phi^+(x)f^-(x) \ \approx\ \phi^-(x)f^+(x), \quad (\text{equality up to } \mathbb{Q}^\times).$$

Hence we get

$$\phi^+(x)\psi^+(y)f^-(x) \ \approx\ \phi^-(x)\psi^-(y)f^+(x),$$

an equality of polynomials up to $\mathbb{Q}^\times$; evaluating on period matrices, we get

$$c^+(M \otimes M')c^-(M) \ \approx\ c^-(M \otimes M')c^+(M),$$

which is an equality in $R^\times = (\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C})^\times$ up to $\mathbb{E}^\times$. This concludes the proof in case (i).

Case (ii): $\epsilon(M') = -1$.

Here $d^+(M') = d^-(M') - 1$. From an analogous argument as in the previous case we get

$$\phi^+(x)f^+(x) \ \approx\ \phi^-(x)f^-(x).$$

The rest is similar, and in this case we end up with

$$c^+(M \otimes M')c^+(M) \ \approx\ c^-(M \otimes M')c^-(M).$$

4. Period relations for motives over totally real fields

4.1. **A factorization result for Deligne's periods over a totally real field.** Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a totally real number field. Let $I_{\mathbb{F}}$ be the set of all real embeddings of $\mathbb{F}$ into $\mathbb{C}$. A motive M over $\mathbb{F}$ with coefficients in a number field $\mathbb{E}$ has the following realizations: For each $\sigma \in I_{\mathbb{F}}$ we have a Betti realization of M, denoted $H_B(\sigma, M)$, which is a vector space of dimension $d(M)$ over $\mathbb{E}$ together with an action of the complex conjugation which we denote ρ_σ. The de Rham realization of M, denoted $H_{DR}(M)$, is a free $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{F}$ module of rank $d(M)$ with a decreasing filtration $F^p_{DR}(M)$ of $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{F}$-modules. For each $\sigma \in I_{\mathbb{F}}$, there is a comparison isomorphism of $\mathbb{E} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$-modules

$$I_\sigma : H_B(\sigma, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \ \longrightarrow\ H_{DR}(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{F},\sigma} \mathbb{C}.$$

There is a Hodge decomposition: $H_B(\sigma, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} = \oplus_{p,q} H_\sigma^{p,q}(M)$ and ρ_σ maps $H_\sigma^{p,q}(M)$ onto $H_\sigma^{q,p}(M)$. The rank of $H_\sigma^{p,q}(M)$ is independent of σ and is denoted by $h_M^{p,q}$; these are the Hodge numbers of M.

Suppose M is critical, i.e., for each $\sigma \in I_{\mathbb{F}}$ condition (i) or equivalently (ii) of Theorem 2.1.6 applies to $H_B(\sigma, M)$, then the periods $c^{\pm}(\sigma, M)$ are defined in a manner analogous to the case of motives over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus for a given σ, the periods $c^{\pm}(\sigma, M)$ are well-defined as elements of $(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{C})^\times \bmod (\mathbb{E} \otimes \sigma(\mathbb{F}))^\times$.

Given a motive M over $\mathbb{F}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{E}$, the restriction of scalars functor gives a motive $R_{\mathbb{F}|\mathbb{Q}}(M)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{E}$ such that

1. $H_{DR}(R_{\mathbb{F}|\mathbb{Q}}(M)) = H_{DR}(M)$ as an $\mathbb{E}$-vector space of dimension $d(M)[\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{Q}]$, and
2. $H_B(R_{\mathbb{F}|\mathbb{Q}}(M)) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in I_{\mathbb{F}}} H_B(\sigma, M)$.

The periods $c^{\pm}(R_{\mathbb{F}|\mathbb{Q}}(M))$ have the following factorization:

$$c^{\pm}(R_{\mathbb{F}|\mathbb{Q}}(M)) = (1 \otimes D_{\mathbb{F}}^{1/2})^{d^{\pm}(M)} \prod_{\sigma \in I_{\mathbb{F}}} c^{\pm}(\sigma, M) \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbb{E}^\times). \tag{4.1.1}$$

Here $D_{\mathbb{F}}$ is the absolute discriminant of $\mathbb{F}$. Such a factorization of periods has been observed by many; see, for example, Blasius [Bla97, M.8], Hida [Hid94, p.442] or Panchishkin [Pan94, p.995]. This is closely related to a long history concerning Shimura's conjecture about factorization of periods related to Hilbert modular forms; we refer the reader to Harris [Harr93] and the references therein.

4.2. The main result on period relations over totally real fields.

An analogue of Theorem 3.1.1 holds for motives over totally real fields under suitably modified hypotheses.

Theorem 4.2.1. *Let M and M' be motives defined over a totally real number field $\mathbb{F}$ with coefficients in a number field $\mathbb{E}$. Suppose they satisfy the following properties:*

1. *rank(M) $= d(M)$ is even, and rank(M′) $= d(M')$ is odd.*
2. *M and M' are simple.*
3. *All the nonzero Hodge numbers $h_M^{p,q}$ and $h_{M'}^{p,q}$ are equal to 1.*
4. *The motive $M \otimes M'$ is critical.*

Let $\epsilon(\sigma, M')$ be the scalar by which the complex conjugation ρ_σ acts on the rank-one middle Hodge type of $H_B(\sigma, M')$.

(i) *For $\sigma \in I_{\mathbb{F}}$, as elements of $(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{C})^\times$ we have*

$$\frac{c^+(\sigma, M \otimes M')}{c^-(\sigma, M \otimes M')} = \left(\frac{c^+(\sigma, M)}{c^-(\sigma, M)}\right)^{\epsilon(\sigma, M')} \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\ (\mathbb{E} \otimes \sigma(\mathbb{F}))^\times).$$

(ii) *As elements of $(\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{C})^\times$ we have*

$$\frac{c^+(R_{\mathbb{F}|\mathbb{Q}}(M \otimes M'))}{c^-(R_{\mathbb{F}|\mathbb{Q}}(M \otimes M'))} = \prod_{\sigma \in I_{\mathbb{F}}} \left(\frac{c^+(\sigma, M)}{c^-(\sigma, M)}\right)^{\epsilon(\sigma, M')} \qquad (\mathrm{mod}\ (\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathcal{F})^\times),$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is any subfield of $\mathbb{C}$ containing $\sigma(\mathbb{F})$ for all $\sigma \in I_{\mathbb{F}}$.

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3.1.1 gives the proof of (i) *mutatis mutandis* since the discussion involving Yoshida's results (in §2.2) works over $\mathbb{Q}$. Next, (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) follows from (4.1.1); note that the discriminant factor cancels out since $d^+(M \otimes M') = d^-(M \otimes M') = d(M)d(M')/2$. $\square$

Remark 4.2.2. Panchishkin has conjectured, based on suggestions from Beilinson, that there should exist $\tilde{c}^{\pm}(\sigma, M) \in (\mathbb{E} \otimes \mathbb{C})^{\times}$ well-defined modulo $\mathbb{E}^{\times}$ such that for all $\sigma \in I_{\mathbb{F}}$ we have

$$\tilde{c}^{\pm}(\sigma, M) \;=\; c^{\pm}(\sigma, M) \qquad (\text{mod } (\mathbb{E} \otimes \sigma(F))^{\times}).$$

(See [Pan94, Conjecture 2.3].) Granting this, statement (ii) of Theorem 4.2.1 can be conjecturally refined as:

$$\frac{c^+(R_{\mathbb{F}|\mathbb{Q}}(M \otimes M'))}{c^-(R_{\mathbb{F}|\mathbb{Q}}(M \otimes M'))} \;=\; \prod_{\sigma \in I_{\mathbb{F}}} \left(\frac{\tilde{c}^+(\sigma, M)}{\tilde{c}^-(\sigma, M)} \right)^{\epsilon(\sigma, M')} \qquad (\text{mod } \mathbb{E}^{\times}).$$

5. The dictionary and comments on the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1.1

5.1. **Dictionary between motives and automorphic representations.** We review some essential features of the conjectural dictionary between rank n motives M over $\mathbb{Q}$ and algebraic automorphic representations π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$. The main reference is Clozel [Clo90][1].

There is a one-to-one correspondence between irreducible or simple motives over $\mathbb{Q}$ with coefficients in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ and cuspidal algebraic representations π of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$; if such a motive M is associated to such a representation π then the Hodge decomposition of the Betti realization of M is related to the infinite-component π_∞ of π which we now proceed to explain. The Hodge decomposition $H_B(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} = \oplus H^{p,q}(M)$ is representation of $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ with $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ acting on $H^{p,q}(M)$ via the character $z \mapsto z^{-p}\bar{z}^{-q}$. On the other hand, consider the Langlands parameter $\tau(\pi_\infty)$ of π_∞, which is an n-dimensional semi-simple representation of Weil group $W_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\mathbb{R}$. Now, $\mathbb{C}^{\times} = W_{\mathbb{C}} \subset W_{\mathbb{R}}$. The restriction of $\tau(\pi_\infty)$ to $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is a sum of n characters of $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$. If M corresponds to π then as a representation of $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$, one expects:

$$H_B(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \;\simeq\; \tau(\pi_\infty) \otimes |\;|^{(1-n)/2}.$$

In particular, the Hodge types and Hodge numbers of M can be read off from π_∞.

5.2. **Comments on the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1.1.** Now let us consider a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation π as in §1.2. As mentioned therein, implicit in this data is a dominant integral weight λ. Such a weight λ (supporting nontrivial cuspidal cohomology) satisfies a purity condition: there is an integer w such that $\lambda_j + \lambda_{n+1-j} = \mathsf{w}$, where, we have expressed λ as $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ with respect to the standard basis of the Lie algebra of diagonal torus of GL_n. In particular, $\lambda_1 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$; the choice of Borel subgroup, to talk about dominance, being the upper triangular matrices in GL_n. Let E_λ be the algebraic irreducible finite-dimensional representation of the algebraic group $\mathrm{GL}_n/\mathbb{Q}$. Let $E_{\lambda,\mathbb{C}}$ be its complexification. Then $\pi_\infty \otimes E_{\lambda,\mathbb{C}}$ has non-trivial relative Lie algebra cohomology. This pins down the restriction to $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ of the Langlands parameter of π_∞, to describe which we need some notation. Let $\ell = (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)$ be defined as: $\ell = 2\lambda - \mathsf{w} + 2\rho_n$ where ρ_n is half the sum of positive roots for GL_n, i.e., $\rho_n = ((n-1)/2, (n-3)/2, \dots, -(n-1)/2)$. Observe that $\ell_1 > \ell_2 > \cdots > l_{[n/2]} > 0$ and $\ell_j = -\ell_{n+1-j}$. Then

---

[1] The reader is also referred to some unpublished notes of three lectures by Clozel at IHES 2006, titled 'Motives and automorphic representations'.

$$\tau(\pi_\infty)|_{\mathbb{C}^\times} \;=\; \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n} z^{\frac{\ell_j}{2}+\frac{\mathsf{w}}{2}}\, \bar{z}^{-\frac{\ell_j}{2}+\frac{\mathsf{w}}{2}}.$$

(We have omitted several well-known details in making the above assertion; the interested reader can consult [RaSh07, §5.1] and [RaTa11, §3.1.5] and fix the necessary details.)

It follows from the dictionary that if a rank n motive M corresponds to a cohomological cuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A})$ then the representation of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ on $H_B(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is a direct sum of n distinct characters; hence the Hodge numbers $h^{p,q}(M)$ are all 1.

6. Comments on when ranks of M and M' have the same parity

6.1. **When the ranks of both M and M' are even.** In this case, it follows from the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1.1 that $d^+(M) = d^-(M)$, $d^+(M') = d^-(M')$ and $d^+(M \otimes M') = d^-(M \otimes M')$. Since the tensor product motive $M \otimes M'$ is critical by assumption, there exist integers q^+, q^- such that

$$u_1 + u_2 + \ldots + u_{q^\pm} \;=\; d^\pm(M \otimes M').$$

Thus we have $q^+ = q^-$ and as a result, the equations (3.2.1) and (3.2.2) are satisfied. From the results of Yoshida (*cf.* [Yos01, Cor.1, p.1188]) we get

$$c^+(M \otimes M') \;=\; c^-(M \otimes M'). \tag{6.1.1}$$

This ties up very well with known results on critical values. Consider a classical situation: suppose f and g are primitive holomorphic cusp forms of the same level and of weights k and l. Suppose $k < l$ and ψ is the nebentypus of the g. Let us look at the (degree four) Rankin-Selberg L-function $L(s, \mathsf{f} \times \mathsf{g})$. Let $l \le m < k$. Then Shimura [Shi77, Theorem 4] has proved

$$L_f(m, \mathsf{f} \times \mathsf{g}) \;\sim\; (2\pi i)^{2m-l-1} \gamma(\psi) u^+(\mathsf{f}) u^-(\mathsf{f}).$$

Suppose now that both m and $m+1$ are critical then we get

$$\frac{L_f(m, \mathsf{f} \times \mathsf{g})}{L_f(m+1, \mathsf{f} \times \mathsf{g})} \;\sim\; (2\pi i)^{-2}.$$

As in §1.1 we can absorb the $(2\pi i)^2$ into the ratio of L-factors at infinity and deduce:

$$L(m, \mathsf{f} \times \mathsf{g}) \;\sim\; L(m+1, \mathsf{f} \times \mathsf{g}). \tag{6.1.2}$$

It is this statement about L-values for $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ that is motivically interpreted in (6.1.1) for a tensor product of two rank two motives. The generalization of (6.1.2) to the context of Rankin-Selberg L-functions for $\mathrm{GL}_n \times \mathrm{GL}_{n'}$, when both n and n' are even, is work in progress by Günter Harder and the second author; the results will appear elsewhere.

6.2. **When the ranks of both M and M' are odd.** In this situation we do not seem to have any reasonable relation between $c^+(M \otimes M')$ and $c^-(M \otimes M')$. The interested reader will find that if one tries to carry through the proof in §3.2 then the underlying numerology (in the discussion as for example in 'Case(i)' therein) will simply not work when both motives have odd rank.

Perhaps, this should not be so surprising, because, the ratio c^+/c^- of the two periods is related to the ratio of two successive critical values; however, if we have a motive of odd rank, then statements (4) and (5) of Theorem 2.1.6 tell us that we almost never ever have two successive integers both of which are critical.

The reader should look at the discussion toward the end of Harder's paper [Hard10] where he discusses the situation of Rankin-Selberg L-functions for $\mathrm{GL}_3 \times \mathrm{GL}_1$ and rank-one Eisenstein cohomology for GL_4. In this situation, Birgit Speh pointed out to him that a certain intertwining operator degenerates to the 0 map in relative Lie algebra cohomology, due to which it is suggested that the methods of that paper, which are for L-functions for $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_1$ and Eisenstein cohomology for GL_3, would not generalize to L-functions for $\mathrm{GL}_3 \times \mathrm{GL}_1$.

References

[Bla97] D. Blasius, *Period relations and critical values of L-functions.* Olga Taussky-Todd: in memoriam. Pacific J. Math., (1997), Special Issue, 53–83.

[Clo90] L. Clozel, *Motifs et formes automorphes: applications du principe de fonctorialité.* (French) [Motives and automorphic forms: applications of the functoriality principle] Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and L-functions, Vol. I (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988), 77–159, Perspect. Math., 10, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.

[Del79] P. Deligne, *Valeurs de fonctions L et périodes d'intégrales (French),* With an appendix by N. Koblitz and A. Ogus. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXIII, Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, pp. 313–346, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979.

[Hard10] Harder, G. *Arithmetic aspects of rank one Eisenstein cohomology.* Cycles, motives and Shimura varieties, 131190, Tata Inst. Fund. Res. Stud. Math., Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2010.

[HaRa11] Harder, G., and Raghuram, A., *Eisenstein cohomology and ratios of critical values of Rankin-Selberg L-functions.* C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 349 (2011) 719-724.

[Harr93] Harris, M., *L-functions of* 2×2 *unitary groups and factorization of periods of Hilbert modular forms.* Jour. of AMS, Volume 6, Number 3 (1993) 637–719.

[Hid94] Hida, H., *On the critical values of L-functions of* $GL(2)$ *and* $GL(2) \times GL(2)$, Duke mathematical journal, 74(2) (1994), 431-529.

[Pan94] Panchishkin A., *Motives over totally real fields and p-adic L-functions*, Annales de l'institut Fourier, 44(4) (1994), 989-1023.

[RaSh07] Raghuram, A.; Shahidi, Freydoon Functoriality and special values of L-functions. Eisenstein series and applications, 271293, Progr. Math., 258, Birkhuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2008.

[RaTa11] Raghuram, A.; Tanabe, Naomi Notes on the arithmetic of Hilbert modular forms. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 26 (2011), no. 3, 261319.

[Ser69] Serre, J.P., *Facteurs locaux des fonctions zêta des variétés algébriques (défintions et conjectures)(French)*, Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou, Théorie des nombres, 11(2) (1969-70), 2-15.

[Shi77] G. Shimura, *On the periods of modular forms,* Math. Ann., 229, no. 3, 211–221, (1977).

[Yos01] Yoshida, H., *Motives and Siegel modular forms*, American Journal of Mathematics, 123 (2001), 1171-1197.

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research,, Pune,, India.
E-mail address: `cbhagwat@iiserpune.ac.in, raghuram@iiserpune.ac.in`